

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	Ε	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/079,843 02/22/2002			Daniel J. Plankenhorn	J-3133	7561
28165	7590 03/1	0/2004		EXAM	IINER
S.C. JOHNSON & SON, INC.				SNIDER, THERESA T	
1525 HOWE STREET RACINE, WI 53403-2236				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				1744	

DATE MAILED: 03/10/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/079,843	PLANKENHORN, DANIEL J.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Theresa T. Snider	1744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address				
Period for Reply  A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply y within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH: e, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 11 S	eptember 2003.					
3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under E	•					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-73 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) ☐ Claim(s) 29 and 58 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-28,30-57 and 59-73 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 22 February 2002 is/are Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	e: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ obj drawing(s) be held in abeyance tion is required if the drawing(s)	s. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in App rity documents have been re u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sum	nmary (PTO-413)				
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	Paper No(s)/M	Mail Date rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/079,843 Page 2

Art Unit: 1744

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

- 1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 810(page 10, line 2). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.
- 2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(4) because reference character "1106" has been used to designate both platen(page 12, line 2) and stationary surface(page 12, line 3). A proposed drawing correction or corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

#### Claim Objections

- Claims 59 and 71 are objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 59 recites the same limitation as claim 58, line 6. Claim 71 recites the same limitation as claim 65.
- 4. Claim 57 is objected to because of the following informalities: 'oppoite' should be replaced with 'opposite'. Appropriate correction is required.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,843

Art Unit: 1744

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

6. Claims 1-28, 30-57 and 59-73 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Exemplary of such:

Claim 1, line 7, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'formed by a configuration of the cleaning ribbon'.

Claims 2, 4-28, 30-57, 59-66 and 68-73, line 1, 'A' should be replaced with 'The'.

Claim 2, line 2, 'apparatus' should be replaced with the appropriate element that is said to comprise it; (housing ??)

Claim 3, line 9, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'formed by a configuration of the cleaning ribbon'.

Claim 5, line 2, should 'debris' be replaced with 'particles' to correspond with claim 3, line 10?

Claims 16 and 20-24, line 2, 'cleaning apparatus' should be replaced with the appropriate element that is said to comprise it; (housing ??).

Claim 22, line 2, 'the surface' should be replaced with 'a surface'.

Claim 26, line 2, should 'debris' be replaced with 'particles' to correspond with claim 3, line 10?

Claim 27, line 3, 'the' should be replaced with 'a'.

Application/Control Number: 10/079,843

Art Unit: 1744

Claim 28, line 3, 'that side of the cleaning ribbon collecting foreign particles' lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim 41, line 1, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'for that'.

Claim 43, line 2, 'the platen' lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim 44, line 1, 'the platen' lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claims 51-52, line 2, 'cleaning apparatus' should be replaced with the appropriate element that is said to comprise it; (housing ??).

Claim 55, line 2, 'the particle trap' lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claim 57, line 2, 'the particle trap' lacks proper antecedent basis;

Line 3, 'the side of the cleaning ribbon that collects debris' lacks proper antecedent basis.

Claims 65 and 71, line 2, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'for reducing the width of the supply reel'.

Claims 66 and 72, line 2, it is unclear as to what is meant by 'for reducing the width of the take-up reel'.

Claims 72-73, 'cleaning apparatus' should be replaced with 'cartridge'.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

7. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 1744

8. Claim 67 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Hester.

Hester discloses a cartridge with a supply and a take-up reel (col. 2, lines 33-35).

Hester discloses a cleaning ribbon extending between the reels (col. 2, lines 14-15).

Hester discloses a means for detachably securing the cartridge to a cleaning apparatus (col. 2, lines 29-33 and 51-55).

9. Claim 67 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Silvenis.

Silvenis discloses a cartridge with a supply and a take-up reel (fig. 3, #18,26,30).

Silvenis discloses a cleaning ribbon extending between the reels (fig. 3, #22).

Silvenis discloses a means for detachably securing the cartridge to a cleaning apparatus (fig. 2).

## Allowable Subject Matter

- 10. Claims 29 and 58 are allowed.
- 11. Claims 1 and 3 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 12. Claims 2, 4-28, 30-57, 59-66 and 68-73 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 13. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the prior art discloses a cleaning apparatus having a housing, two reels with an endless cleaning ribbon extending there between wherein a suction source located proximate the ribbon keeps it

Application/Control Number: 10/079,843

Art Unit: 1744

'fresh' and a rotatable brush HOWEVER fails to disclose or fairly suggest the two reels being a supply and a take-up reel. The prior art discloses a cleaning apparatus having a housing, a supply and a take-up reel with an endless cleaning ribbon extending there between and a rotatable brush HOWEVER fails to disclose or fairly suggest the brush being able to sweep debris into a particle trap formed by the cleaning ribbon. The prior art discloses a cartridge for detachable securement to a cleaning apparatus having a supply reel, a take-up reel, a cleaning ribbon extending between the reels and means for detachably securing the cartridge to a cleaning apparatus HOWEVER fails to disclose or fairly suggest means for sweeping debris into a particle trap formed by the ribbon OR the securing means being at least on aperture within the cartridge and at least one protrusion within the cleaning apparatus OR the cleaning ribbon wound on the supply reel for reducing the width of the supply reel.

#### Conclusion

14. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Parisi discloses a cleaning apparatus with a rotatable brush and take-up and supply reels with a cleaning ribbon extending there between. Mitchell discloses an apparatus with a take-up and a supply reel. Korski et al. discloses a cleaning apparatus with a rotatable brush and an endless belt spanning two reels.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Theresa T. Snider whose telephone number is (571) 272-1277. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Wednesday-Friday (6:30AM-3:00PM).

35A.

Art Unit: 1744

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert J. Warden can be reached on (571) 272-1281. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

03/03/2004

Theresa T. Snider Primary Examiner Art Unit 1744